

LAW OFFICES
CALFO HARRIGAN LEYH & EAKES LLP
999 THIRD AVENUE, SUITE 4400
SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98104
(206) 623-1700

ARTHUR W. HARRIGAN, JR.

FACSIMILE: (206) 623-8717
E-MAIL: ARTHURH@CALFOHARRIGAN.COM

July 24, 2013

VIA ECF

The Honorable James L. Robart
United States District Court
Western District of Washington
700 Stewart Street, Suite 14128
Seattle, WA 98101-9906

Re: *Microsoft Corp. v. Motorola, Inc.*, et al., No. 10-cv-1823-JLR

Dear Judge Robart:

We are writing to make sure Microsoft's proposed allocation of argument assignments among three lawyers for the *Daubert* and Summary Judgment motions scheduled for next week will be acceptable to the Court. Motorola's counsel has indicated it has no objection to the proposed approach.

The division of labor we propose is partially intended to align related components of different motions. The lawyers arguing will be Messrs. Pritikin, Cederroth and Harrigan, with the proposed division to be as follows:

Mr. Harrigan:

- (1) Microsoft's Motion to Exclude Brad Keller;
- (2) Motorola's Motion to Exclude Todd Menenberg;
- (3) Microsoft's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment; and
- (4) Motorola's Motion for Summary Judgment (as to Microsoft's claim to attorneys' fees as damages).

Mr. Pritikin:

- (1) Microsoft's Motion to Exclude Richard Holleman and Gregory Leonard; and
- (2) Motorola's Summary Judgment Motion on (a) Microsoft's claim that Motorola breached the SSOs' patent policies by seeking injunctions, (b) the *Noerr-Pennington* doctrine, and (c) Microsoft's claims regarding Marvell and MPEG LA.

Mr. Cederroth:

- (1) Microsoft's Motion to Exclude Maximilian Haedicke; and
- (2) Motorola's Motion to Exclude Theo Bodewig.

The Honorable James L. Robart
United States District Court
July 24, 2013
Page 2

Please let us know if this approach is acceptable or which modifications we should make.
Thank you for your attention to this request.

Very truly yours,

CALFO HARRIGAN LEYH EAKES LLP



Arthur W. Harrigan, Jr.

AWH:fcf

cc: All Counsel (via ECF)